

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/532,722	04/27/2005	Isao Kuwayama	Q87706	8923
	23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/19/200 ON. PLLC	7	EXAMINER	
	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		I.W.	JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1733	
			,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/532,722	KUWAYAMA, ISAO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor			
Status		·				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,,	,			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2007 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	·					
12)[_ · a)[12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on April 9, 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gough (3,612,136).

Contrary to applicant's arguments, the clear reference disclosure of the cord angle difference between the two narrow plies inherently discloses the two possible species wherein the inner ply has the larger angle and wherein the outer ply has the larger angle: small number of species would have been at once envisaged by one of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosed genus (see MPEP 2131.02).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gough (3,612,136).

See paragraph 3 above: it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such conventional features in the above tire. It is noted that applicant has not challenged the examiner's position that these features are conventional.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gough (3,612,136) in view of Kojima et al. (5,032,198), Nakayasu et al. (5,558,144), and Osborne et al. (6,425,426 B1).

See paragraph 3 above: the claimed auxiliary belt is a conventional feature in such tires, as evidenced by Kojima et al. (col. 6 line 20 - col. 9 line 38), Nakayasu et al. (col. 2 line 40 - col. 5 line 3), and Osborne et al. (col. 4 line 17 - col.), therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such a conventional auxiliary belt in the above tire. Applicant has challenged the examiner's position that the claimed at least one auxiliary belt is a conventional feature in tires such as the above tire, therefore Kojima et al., Nakayasu et al., and Osborne et al. have been added as evidence that this feature is indeed conventional.

7. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gough (3,612,136) in view of Ubukata et al. (6,260,596 B1).

See paragraph 3 above: it is well known to align cord direction of a ply all in the same direction or symmetrically with respect to a vehicle centerline in order to adjust cornering and steering properties, as evidenced by Ubukata et al. (embodiments of Figures 2-5) for example; it would therefore have been obviuos to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such well known cord alignment when mounting a set of the tires on a vehicle in order to adjust cornering and steering properties.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 20 is allowed.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (571) 272-1218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Adrienne Johnstone

June 12, 2007